Efficient Synthetic Route to Access Linear and Angular Dibenzonaphthyridines

M. Sangeetha*^a* **and M. Manoj***^b* *****

^a Department of Chemistry, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, *Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

*b Polymer Engineering Laboratory, PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India *e-mail: manojm@psgitech.ac.in; manojmbu@gmail.com*

Received June 4, 2019; revised July 13, 2019; accepted August 15, 2019

Abstract—An efficient procedure has been proposed for the synthesis of linear and angular phenyl-substituted dibenzonaphthyridines from anilinoquinolines and benzoic acid in up to 85% yield using Eaton's reagent (a solution of phosphorous pentoxide in methanesulfonic acid) as condensing agent instead of polyphosphoric acid which previously afforded less than 50% yield of the same compounds. Apart from benzoic acid, ethyl benzoate and benzoyl chloride can be used in the synthesis of dibenzonaphthyridines according to the proposed procedure, but the yields are lower.

Keywords: Eaton's reagent, anilinoquinolines, dibenzonaphthyridines.

DOI: 10.1134/S1070428019100221

After the discovery of cinchona alkaloids, quinoline core is widely used as parent structure to synthesize compounds of medicinal importance, especially those possessing antimalarial [1] as well as other kinds of biological activities [2–4]. Quinoline derivatives have recently been examined for their function in the inhibition of tyrosine kinases, proteasome, and topoisomerase, tubulin polymerization, and DNA repair [5]. Quinoline nucleus is present in many natural alkaloids exhibiting antitumor activity, e.g., camptothecin [6, 7]. Some synthetic anilinoquinoline derivatives proved themselves as antimalarials [8] and were used as precursors to synthesize heterocycles like indoloquinolines [9] and dibenzonaphthyridines [10].

In recent years, the chemistry of naphthyridines and their functional derivatives has attracted interest from synthetic organic chemists owing to the broad spectrum of their biological activities such as anti-arrhythmic [11], analgesic [12], anti-HIV [13, 14] and anticancer [15]. In addition, they act as selective 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 inhibitors [16]. There are many reports on the synthesis of functionalized naphthyridines [17, 19], linear dibenzonaphthyridines [20, 21] and angular dibenzonaphthyridines [22, 24]. However, there are only a few methods to construct dibenzonaphthyridines through anilinoquinolines as intermediate products [10, 25]. One of these methods is based on the reaction of anilinoquinolines with benzoic acid in the presence of polyphosphoric acid (PPA) as catalyst, which is known as Bernthsen reaction. In our previous report [26], Bernthsen reaction conditions were employed to synthesize linear and angular dibenzonaphthyridines starting from chloroquinolines through intermediate anilinoquinolines. Interestingly, the yields of dibenzonaphthyridines depended on the substituent in the anilinoquinoline and reaction temperature. However, the yields did not exceed 50%. In this connection, the present work was aimed at improving the yield of final dibenzonaphthyridines by changing the reaction condition. We made an attempt to utilize Eaton's reagent (a mixture of phosphorus pentoxide and methanesulfonic acid at a ratio of 1:10) as an alternative to PPA (mixture of phosphorous pentoxide and orthophosphoric acid) in the synthesis of linear and angular dibenzonaphthyridines. Eaton's reagent is a commercially available and inexpensive material proposed in 1973, and it has been found to be a good alternative to PPA [27]. The advantages of Eaton's reagent over PPA are its lower viscosity which makes it easier to handle, easy separation procedure during work up, and high yields of the products [28].

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 55 No. 10 2019

Scheme 1 represents the synthesis of linear and angular dibenzonaphthyridines utilizing Eaton's reagent. The solvent-free reaction of 2,4-dichloroquinolines **1a**–**1d** with *p*-toluidine at 160°C gave a mixture of anilinoquinolines **2**, **3**, and **4** in which compound **3** was the minor product. The reaction of bis-anilinoquinolines **4** with benzoic acid in the presence of polyphosphoric acid (3 g of P_2O_5 in 1.5 mL of H_3PO_4) at 50 to 55°C afforded more stable angular dibenzonaphthyridine isomers **5a**–**5d** in 35–40%. The same reaction in the presence of Eaton's reagent (1 g of P_2O_5 in 5 mL of MeSO₃H) gave the same products in up to 80–85% yield at lower reaction temperatures (25–30°C). Similarly, compounds **2**, **6**, and **7** were converted to **9**, and **8** to **10**, using Eaton's reagent. In all cases, the yields increased significantly with simultaneous reduction of the reaction temperature. The maximum temperature in the synthesis of dibenzonaphthyridines was 100°C. Table 1 compares the yields and reaction temperatures in the synthesis of dibenzonaphthyridines using PPA and Eaton's reagent.

We also tried to use ethyl benzoate and benzoyl chloride instead of benzoic acid. However, the yields were lower than in the reactions with benzoic acid. A plausible reaction mechanism (Scheme 2) involves initial benzoylation at the 3-position of the quinoline moiety and subsequent cyclization through the *ortho* position of the *p*-tolylamino group on C^4 to produce angular dibenzonaphthyridines or on C^2 to afford linear dibenzonaphthyridines. Comparison of the yields given in Table 1 shows that benzoic acid is a more efficient reagent than benzoyl chloride and that the latter is more efficient than ethyl benzoate.

In conclusion, an efficient synthetic route has been proposed to obtain linear and angular dibenzonaphthyridines from anilinoquinolines and benzoic acid in

Table 1. Synthesis of angular and linear dibenzonaphthyridines **5a**–**5d**, **9a**–**9d**, and **10a**–**10d** from anilinoquinolines **2**, **4**, and **6**–**8** in the presence of polyphosphoric acid (PPA) and Eaton's reagent

Initial com- pound no.	Product no.	PPA $(R^3 = OH)$		Eaton's reagent			
		temperature, °C	yield, %	temperature, °C	yield, %		
					$R^3 = OH$	R^3 = OEt	$R^3 = C1$
4a	5a	$50 - 55$	38	$25 - 30$	$80\,$	40	45
4 _b	5 _b	$50 - 55$	40	$25 - 30$	85	42	48
4c	5c	$50 - 55$	35	$25 - 30$	82	42	50
4d	5d	$50 - 55$	35	$25 - 30$	84	38	48
6a	9a	$140 - 145$	20	$100 - 105$	68	28	32
6 _b	9 _b	$140 - 145$	22	$100 - 105$	72	26	30
6c	9c	$140 - 145$	19	$100 - 105$	70	30	32
6d	9d	$140 - 145$	23	$100 - 105$	70	30	32
7a	9a	$90 - 95$	49	$40 - 45$	82	38	42
7 _b	9 _b	$90 - 95$	51	$40 - 45$	82	40	45
7c	9c	$90 - 95$	50	$40 - 45$	84	42	44
7d	9d	$90 - 95$	52	$40 - 45$	85	44	42
2a	9a	230-235	10	$100 - 105$	35	$18\,$	20
2 _b	9 _b	230-235	10	$100 - 105$	35	15	$18\,$
2c	9c	230-235	12	$100 - 105$	30	15	22
2d	9d	230-235	$10\,$	$100 - 105$	32	16	20
8a	10a	$190 - 195$	10	$100 - 105$	40	15	20
8b	10 _b	$190 - 195$	12	$100 - 105$	45	15	22
8c	10c	$190 - 195$	10	$100 - 105$	42	18	25
8d	10d	$190 - 195$	12	$100 - 105$	45	15	22

up to 85% yield using Eaton's reagent. The proposed procedure is superior to that utilizing polyphosphoric acid as condensing agent where the yields are lower than 50%. In addition, benzoic acid has been found to be a more efficient reagent than benzoyl chloride and ethyl benzoate in the conversion of anilinoquinolines to dibenzonaphthyridines.

EXPERIMENTAL

General procedure for the synthesis of dibenzonaphthyridines using Eaton's reagent. A mixture of 1 g of phosphorous pentoxide and 5 mL of methanesulfonic acid was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The corresponding anilinoquinoline (1.00 mmol) and benzoic acid (or ethyl benzoate or benzoyl chloride, 1.00 mmol) were added to the resulting solution, and the mixture was heated to a temperature indicated in Table 1. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured onto crushed ice. Due to low viscosity of Eaton's reagent, the workup procedure was much easier than with the use of highly viscous polyphosphoric acid. The products were isolated by filtration and were identified by TLC and NMR data. The yields are given in Table 1.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sanders, N.G., Meyers, D.J., and Sullivan, D.J., *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*., 2014, vol. 58, no. 2, p. 820. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01704-13
- 2. Sharma, V., Mehta, D.K., and Das, R., *Mini. Rev. Med. Chem*., 2017, vol. 17, no. 16, p. 1557. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389557517666170510104954
- 3. Mukherjee, S. and Pal, M., *Curr. Med. Chem*., 2013, vol. 20, no. 35, p. 4386. https://doi.org/10.2174/09298673113209990170

4. Dolšak, A., Švajger, U., Lešnik, S., Konc, J., Gobec, S., and Sova, M., *Eur. J. Med. Chem*., 2019, vol. 179, p. 109.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.06.030

Me

- 5. Gasparotto, V., Castagliuolo, I., Chiarelotto, G., Pezzi, V., Montanaro, D., Brun, P., Palu, G., Viola, G., and Ferlin, M.G., *J. Med. Chem*., 2006, vol. 49, no. 6, p. 1910. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0510676
- 6. Wall, M.E., Wani, M.C., Cook, C.K., Palmer, K.H., McPhail, A.T., and Sim, G.A., *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1966, vol. 88, no. 16, p. 3888. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00968a057
- 7. Srivatsava, S.K., Khan, M., and Khanuja, S.P.K., US Patent no. 6893668B2, 2005. https://patents.google.com/patent/US6893668B2/en
- 8. Vijayaragavan. S. and Mahajan. S., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett*., 2017, vol. 27, no. 8, p. 1693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.03.005
- 9. Hostyn, S., Maes, B.U., Baelen, G.V., Gulevskaya, A., Meyers, C., and Smits, K., *Tetrahedron*, 2006, vol. 62, no. 19, p. 4676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2005.12.062
- 10. Kidwai, M. and Kohli, S., *Indian J Chem., Sect. B*, 2001, vol. 40, p. 248. http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/22226
- 11. Paronikyan, E.G., Sirakanyan, S.N., Narayan, A.S., Asatryan, T.O., Markaryan, K.Zh., and Aleksanyan, R.A., 1996, vol. 30, p. 6. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02219320
- 12. Vatsadze, S.Z., Kostochka, M.L., Lezina, V.P., Vinokurov, V.G., Klodt, P.M., and Zyk, N.V., *Russ. Chem Bull., Int. Ed*., 2005, vol. 54, p. 257. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11172-005-0247-y
- 13. Embrey, M.W., Wai, J.S., Funk, T.W., Homnick, C.F., Perlow, D.S., Young, S.D., Vacca, J.P., Hazuda, D.J., Felock, P.J., Stillmock, K.A., Witmer, M.V., Moyer, G., Schleif, W.A., Gabryelski, L.J., Jin, L., Chen, I-Wu, Ellis, J.D., Wong, B.K., Lin, J.H., Leonard, Y.M., Tsou, N.N., and Zhuang, L., *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*., 2005, vol. 15, no. 20, p. 4550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.06.105

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 55 No. 10 2019

- 14. Zhuang, L., Wai, J.S., Embrey, M.W., Fisher, T.E., Egbertson, M.S., Payne, L.S., Guare, J.P., Vacca, J.P., Hazuda, D.J., Felock, P.J., Wolfe, A.L., Stillmock, K.A., Witmer, M.V., Moyer, G., Schleif, W.A., Gabryelski, L.J., Leonard, Y.M., Lynch, J.J., Michelson, S.R., and Young, S.D., *J. Med. Chem*., 2003, vol. 46, no. 4, p. 453. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm025553u
- 15. Atanasova, M., Ilieva, S., and Galabov, B., *Eur. J. Med. Chem*., 2007, vol. 42, no. 9, p. 1184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.01.029
- 16. Gopalsamy, A., Shi, M., Boschelli, D.H., Williamson, R., Olland, A., Hu, Y., Krishnamurthy, G., Han, X., Arndt, K., and Guo, B., *J. Med .Chem*., 2007, vol. 50, no. 23, p. 5547. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm070851i
- 17. Goswami, S., Subrata, J., Anita, H., and Avijit Kumar, A., *J. Heterocycl. Chem*., 2007, vol. 44, no. 5, p. 1191. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570440536
- 18. Goswami, S., Reshmi, M., Rakhi, M., Subrata, J., Annada, C.M., and Avijit Kumar, A., *Molecules*, 2005, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 929. https://doi.org/10.3390/10080929
- 19. Rua, C.A., Granda, S.G., Goswami, S., Reshmi, M., Rakhi, M., Swapan, D., Claramunt, R.M., Santa Maria, M.D., Rozas, I., Jagerovic, N., Alkortae, I., and Elguero, J., *New J. Chem*., 2004, vol. 28, no. 6, p. 700. https://doi.org/10.1039/b315692c
- 20. Jan, T., Dupas, B., Floner, D., and Moinet, C., *Tetrahedron*, 2002, vol. 43, no. 34, p. 5949. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(02)01248-0
- 21. Sampath Kumar, N., Venkatesh Kumar, N., and Rajendran, S.P., *Synth. Commun*., 2004, vol. 34, no. 11, p. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1081/SCC-120037914
- 22. Elslager, E.F. and Tendict, F.H., *J. Med. Chem*., 1962, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 546. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm01238a015
- 23. Hutton, S.M., Mackay, S.P., and Meth-Cohn, O., *Synthesis*, 2000, no. 8, p. 1121. https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-2000-6325
- 24. Gopalsamy, A., Shi, M., and Nilakantan, R., *Org. Process Res. Dev*., 2007, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 450. https://doi.org/10.1021/op700009t
- 25. Settimo, A.D., Biaji, G., Primfiore, G., Ferrarini, P.L., Livi, O., and Marini, A.M., *J. Heterocycl. Chem*., 1980, vol. 17, no. 6, p. 1225. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570170616
- 26. Manoj, M. and Prasad, K.J.R., *J. Heterocycl. Chem*., 2013, vol. 50, p. 1049. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.652
- 27. Eaton, P.E., Carlson, G.R., and Lee, J.T., *J. Org. Chem.,* 1973, vol. 38, no. 23, p. 4071. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00987a028
- 28. Borse, A.U., Patil, M.N., Patil, N.L., and Shinde, R., *ISRN Org. Chem*., 2012, vol. 2012, article ID 415645. https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/415645