



Investigating the properties of agro-waste fiber reinforced low calcium fly ash geopolymer composites: the effect of alkali treatment and nano-clay addition

M. G. Ranjith Kumar¹ · Ganeshprabhu Parvathikumar² · G. E. Arunkumar³ · G. Rajeshkumar⁴ 

Received: 3 August 2024 / Accepted: 17 December 2024

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

This work investigated the effect of incorporating the alkali-treated *Phoenix sp.* fibers (PSFs) and nano-clay (NC) on the physico-mechanical, water, and thermal resistance properties of geopolymers composites. These composites were produced using low calcium fly ash-based geopolymer matrix and PSFs and NC as a reinforcing component. Findings showed that incorporating the alkali-treated fiber and NC significantly enhanced the mechanical characteristics of the composites compared to the control matrix and untreated fiber composites. Specifically, the composite containing 2 wt.% of NC improved their compressive strength by 40.53%, splitting tensile strength by 195.31%, flexural strength by 185.32%, and fracture toughness by 71.93% compared to the control matrix. Further, composites containing treated fiber and NC exhibit a significant reduction in water absorption (30.18%) and thermal conductivity (12.5%). An organised matrix-fiber interface was revealed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, this elucidated the favourable mechanical qualities that were attained. Furthermore, load–deflection tests were performed to determine the viability of fly-ash-based geopolymer composites (FAGC) for structural applications. The findings indicate that reinforcing the alkali-treated PSFs and NC improves the overall performance of the FAGC. More importantly, this research promotes the sustainable use of agricultural and industrial wastes, as well as sustainable development.

Keywords Geopolymer · *Phoenix sp.* fiber · Alkali treatment · Nano-clay · Interfacial bonding

Introduction

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)-based products have been extensively employed in building sites due to exceptional strength and durability characteristics, as well as the readily available of raw substances [1, 2]. Every year, millions of tonnes of resources are used to produce a minimum of ten

billion tonnes of concrete worldwide [3]. Concrete production demands more energy, and raw materials release CO₂ throughout their entire cycle [4, 5]. The OPC significantly impacts the environment, contributing over 6–8% of global CO₂ emissions. To overcome the aforementioned shortcomings, the cement industry needs to enhance the environmental friendliness of construction materials. Proposed alternatives to OPC, such as renewable resources (biomass like agro-wastes) and industrial waste, aim to increase the sustainability of the construction sector [6, 7]. Geopolymers (GPs), is a sustainable and environmentally friendly building material that promotes sustainability [8–10]. These GPs have considerable potential as an innovative and ecologically sustainable solution for a wide range of uses that include: CO₂ absorption, thermal and acoustic insulation, air and wastewater treatment, chemical catalysis, adsorption and removal of various contaminants and functioning as an eco-friendly substitute for traditional cementitious materials [11–13].

The GPs have gained global attention for their exceptional characteristics and ease of manufacture, which requires

✉ G. Rajeshkumar
grajeshkumar.me@gmail.com

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Adithya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

² Department of Civil Engineering, Kamaraj College of Engineering and Technology, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

³ Department of Civil Engineering, Shree Venkateshwara Hi-Tech Engineering College, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴ Department of Mechanical Engineering, PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

on fiber pull-outs, fiber breaking, fiber bridging, and interface debonding. Furthermore, a higher nano-clay content (3 wt.%) increases viscosity, which leads to poor diffusion and geopolymerization, creating weak spots and encouraging porosity. This reduces strength, increases brittleness, and weakens the interfacial bonding inside the composite. Finally, this research demonstrated that geopolymer composites containing treated PSFs and 2 wt.% NC could potentially be employed as an alternative material for thermal insulation and construction applications. A future study is planned to explore the properties of geopolymers reinforced with natural fibers that have been treated using eco-friendly chemicals.

References

- Chanda SS, Guchhait S (2024) A comprehensive review on the factors influencing engineering characteristics of lightweight geopolymer concrete. *J Build Eng* 86:108887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.108887>
- Gümüş M, Bayrak B, Alcan HG et al (2024) Fracture performance of fiber reinforced geopolymer: synergetic perspective. *J Build Eng* 91:109501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.109501>
- Meyer C (2009) The greening of the concrete industry. *Cem Concr Compos* 31:601–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2008.12.010>
- Das P, Chakraborty S, Barai SV (2024) Shear behavior of reinforced concrete beams incorporating ferrochrome slag aggregate and fly ash. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-024-02035-0>
- Xue Q, Wang Z, Chen Q (2022) Multi-objective optimization of building design for life cycle cost and CO₂ emissions: a case study of a low-energy residential building in a severe cold climate. *Build Simul* 15:83–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-021-0796-5>
- Phiri R, Rangappa SM, Siengchin S, Marinkovic D (2023) Agro-waste natural fiber sample preparation techniques for bio-composites development: methodological insights. *Facta Univ Ser Mech Eng* 21:631–656. <https://doi.org/10.22190/FUME230905046P>
- Sumesh KR, Palanisamy S, Khan T, Ajithram A, Ahmed OS (2024) Mechanical, morphological and wear resistance of natural fiber / glass fiber-based polymer composites. *BioResources* 19:3271–3289
- Moujoud Z, Sair S, Ait Ousaleh H et al (2023) Geopolymer composites reinforced with natural fibers: a review of recent advances in processing and properties. *Constr Build Mater* 388:131666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.131666>
- Singh SK, Badkul A, Pal B (2024) Sustainable next-generation single-component geopolymer binders: a review of mechano-chemical behaviour and life-cycle cost analysis. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 26:49–75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-023-01852-z>
- Bhardwaj P, Gupta R, Salamal ST et al (2024) Recent trends in mechanochemical processing of fly ash aluminosilicate materials (geopolymers): advancement, challenges, and opportunities. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 26:1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-023-01817-2>
- Kutlusoy E, Maras MM, Ekinçi E, Rihawi B (2023) Production parameters of novel geopolymer masonry mortar in heritage buildings: Application in masonry building elements. *J Build Eng* 76:107038. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107038>
- Chkala H, Kirm I, Ighir S et al (2024) Preparation and characterization of eco-friendly composite based on geopolymer and reinforced with date palm fiber. *Arab J Chem* 17:105510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2023.105510>
- Zheng H, He Y, Zhu Y et al (2021) Novel procedure of CO₂ capture of the CaO sorbent activator on the reaction of one-part alkali-activated slag. *RSC Adv* 11:12476–12483. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra01353j>
- Duxson P, Provis JL, Lukey GC, van Deventer JSJ (2007) The role of inorganic polymer technology in the development of “green concrete.” *Cem Concr Res* 37:1590–1597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.08.018>
- Steinerova M, Matulova L, Vermach P, Kotas J (2017) The brittleness and chemical stability of optimized geopolymer composites. *Materials (Basel)* 10:396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10040396>
- Ozcelikli E, Ozdogru E, Tugluca MS et al (2024) Comprehensive investigation of performance of construction and demolition waste based wood fiber reinforced geopolymer composites. *J Build Eng* 84:108682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.108682>
- Ahmed HQ, Jaf DK, Yaseen SA (2020) Flexural strength and failure of geopolymer concrete beams reinforced with carbon fibre-reinforced polymer bars. *Constr Build Mater* 231:117185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117185>
- Sumesh KR, Ajithram A, Anjumol KS, Sai Krishnan G (2024) Influence of natural fiber addition and fiber length in determining the wear resistance of epoxy-based composites. *Polym Compos* 45:3029–3042. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27968>
- Rajeshkumar G (2021) A new study on tribological performance of Phoenix Sp. fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. *J Nat Fibers* 18:2208–2219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2020.1724235>
- Rajeshkumar G (2021) Mechanical and free vibration properties of Phoenix sp. fiber reinforced epoxy composites: Influence of sodium bicarbonate treatment. *Polym Compos* 42:6362–6369. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.26303>
- Arivendan A, Chen X, Zhang YF et al (2024) Enhancing the efficiency of vibrational damping and hardness properties of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) plant fibre-reinforced polymer composites. *Biomass Convers Biorefinery.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-024-05509-1>
- Sumesh KR, Ajithram A, Palanisamy S, Kavimani V (2023) Mechanical properties of ramie/flax hybrid natural fiber composites under different conditions. *Biomass Convers Biorefinery.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04628-5>
- Sá Ribeiro MG, Miranda IPA, Kriven WM et al (2024) High strength and low water absorption of bamboo fiber-reinforced geopolymer composites. *Constr Build Mater* 411:134179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.134179>
- Nagarjun J, Kanchana J, RajeshKumar G et al (2022) Enhancement of mechanical behavior of PLA matrix using tamarind and date seed micro fillers. *J Nat Fibers* 19:4662–4674. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2020.1870616>
- Ajithram A, Jappes JTW, Rajini N, Irulappasamy Siva KR, Sumesh DD (2023) Serious ecological threat water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) plant into successive hyacinth ash with eggshell filler reinforced polymer composite—waste into zero waste concept. *J Process Mech Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.1177/09544089231190241>
- Dheyaaldin MH, Mosaberpanah MA, Shi J, Alzebaree R (2023) The effects of nanomaterials on the characteristics of aluminosilicate-based geopolymer composites: a critical review. *J Build Eng* 73:106713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.106713>
- Rajeshkumar G (2021) A comprehensive review on natural fiber / nano-clay reinforced hybrid polymeric composites: materials and technologies. *Polym Compos* 42:3687–3701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.26110>

28. Rajeshkumar G, Hariharan V, Scalici T (2016) Effect of NaOH treatment on properties of Phoenix Sp. Fiber J Nat Fibers 13:702–713. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2015.1130005>
29. Colangelo F, Roviello G, Ricciotti L et al (2018) Mechanical and thermal properties of lightweight geopolymer composites. Cem Concr Compos 86:266–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.11.016>
30. Low IM, McGrath M, Lawrence D et al (2007) Mechanical and fracture properties of cellulose-fibre-reinforced epoxy laminates. Composites Part A 38:963–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2006.06.019>
31. Alomayri T, Assaedi H, Shaikh FUA, Low IM (2014) Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of cotton fabric-reinforced geopolymer composites. J Asian Ceram Soc 2:223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jascer.2014.05.005>
32. Natali A, Manzi S, Bignozzi MC (2011) Novel fiber-reinforced composite materials based on sustainable geopolymer matrix. Procedia Eng 21:1124–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2120>
33. Mamdouh H, Ali AM, Osman MA et al (2022) Effects of size and flexural reinforcement ratio on ambient-cured geopolymer slag concrete beams under four-point bending. Buildings 12:1554. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12101554>
34. Ranjbar N, Talebian S, Mehrali M et al (2016) Mechanisms of interfacial bond in steel and polypropylene fiber reinforced geopolymer composites. Compos Sci Technol 122:73–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.11.009>
35. Zhong H, Zhang M (2022) 3D printing geopolymers: a review. Cem Concr Compos 128:104455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104455>
36. Assaedi H, Shaikh FUA, Low IM (2017) Effect of nanoclay on durability and mechanical properties of flax fabric reinforced geopolymer composites. J Asian Ceram Soc 5:62–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jascer.2017.01.003>
37. Su Z, Guo L, Zhang Z, Duan P (2019) Influence of different fibers on properties of thermal insulation composites based on geopolymer blended with glazed hollow bead. Constr Build Mater 203:525–540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.01.121>
38. Behforouz B, Balkanlou VS, Naseri F et al (2020) Investigation of eco-friendly fiber-reinforced geopolymer composites incorporating recycled coarse aggregates. Int J Environ Sci Technol 17:3251–3260. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02643-x>
39. Hakamy A, Shaikh F, Low IM (2013) Microstructures and mechanical properties of hemp fabric reinforced organoclay-cement nanocomposites. Constr Build Mater 49:298–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.08.028>
40. Shaikh FUA, Supit SWM, Sarker PK (2014) A study on the effect of nano silica on compressive strength of high volume fly ash mortars and concretes. Mater Des 60:433–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.04.025>
41. Zhou B, Wang L, Ma G et al (2020) Preparation and properties of bio-geopolymer composites with waste cotton stalk materials. J Clean Prod 245:118842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118842>
42. Wongs A, Kunthawatwong R, Naenudon S et al (2020) Natural fiber reinforced high calcium fly ash geopolymer mortar. Constr Build Mater 241:118142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118143>
43. Yan L, Chou N, Huang L, Kasal B (2016) Effect of alkali treatment on microstructure and mechanical properties of coir fibres, coir fibre reinforced-polymer composites and reinforced-cementitious composites. Constr Build Mater 112:168–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.02.182>
44. Hakamy A, Shaikh FUA, Low IM (2016) Effect of calcined nanoclay on the durability of NaOH treated hemp fabric-reinforced cement nanocomposites. Mater Des 92:659–666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.12.097>
45. Rajeshkumar G (2020) An experimental study on the interdependence of mercerization, moisture absorption and mechanical properties of sustainable Phoenix sp. fibre-reinforced epoxy composites. J Ind Text 49:1233–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083718811085>
46. Sanjeevi S, Shanmugam V, Kumar S et al (2021) Effects of water absorption on the mechanical properties of hybrid natural fibre/phenol formaldehyde composites. Sci Rep 11:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92457-9>
47. Huang Y, Tan J, Xuan X et al (2021) Study on untreated and alkali treated rice straw reinforced geopolymer composites. Mater Chem Phys 262:124304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2021.124304>
48. Ramakrishnan S, Krishnamurthy K, Rajasekar R, Rajeshkumar G (2019) An experimental study on the effect of nano-clay addition on mechanical and water absorption behaviour of jute fibre reinforced epoxy composites. J Ind Text 49:597–620. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083718792915>
49. Alamri H, Low IM (2012) Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of nano-filler reinforced epoxy nanocomposites. Mater Des 42:214–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.05.060>
50. Shankar S, Joshi HR (2014) Comparison of concrete properties determined by destructive and non-destructive tests. J Inst Eng 10:130–139. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jie.v10i1.10889>
51. Ghosh R, Sagar SP, Kumar A et al (2018) Estimation of geopolymer concrete strength from ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) using high power pulser. J Build Eng 16:39–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2017.12.009>
52. Khedari J, Suttisonk B, Pratinthong N, Hirunlabh J (2001) New lightweight composite construction materials with low thermal conductivity. Cem Concr Compos 23:65–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465\(00\)00072-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465(00)00072-X)
53. Khedari J, Nankongnab N, Hirunlabh J, Teekasap S (2004) New low-cost insulation particleboards from mixture of durian peel and coconut coir. Build Environ 39:59–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2003.08.001>
54. Farhan KZ, Johari MAM, Demirboğa R (2021) Impact of fiber reinforcements on properties of geopolymer composites: a review. J Build Eng 44:102628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102628>
55. Örcen G, Bayram D (2024) Effect of nanoclay on the mechanical and thermal properties of glass fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. J Mater Sci 59:3467–3487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-024-09387-w>
56. Toutanji H, Xu B, Gilbert J, Lavin T (2010) Properties of poly(vinyl alcohol) fiber reinforced high-performance organic aggregate cementitious material: converting brittle to plastic. Constr Build Mater 24:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.08.023>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.